

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/032,894	10/26/2001	John Erik Lindholm	NVIDP011A/P000094 7963	
23419	7590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
COOLEY GODWARD, LLP			HAVAN, THU THAO	
3000 EL CAMINO REAL 5 PALO ALTO SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			2672	19
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	1 / /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_//			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//			
تسعه	Advisory Action	10/032,894	LINDHOLM ET AL.	V			
	, tarreer y , touen	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thu-Thao Havan	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 25 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
Ex have be 37 CFR (b) abov	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Itensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions at 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three morpatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate extended to the final Office action; or a control of the final Office action.	e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: 24-34.						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>							

ij

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/032,894



Continuation of 2. NOTE:

Continuation of 10. Other: Krech discloses lighting logic unit is coupled to the multiplication logic unit via a conversion module adapted for converting scalar vertex data to vector vertex data (fig. 5). In addition, Krech discloses multiplication logic unit has a feedback loop coupled to an input thereof (col. 11, line 45 to col. 13, line 15; fig. 7). In other words, Krech teaches a vertex looping routine is commenced, which processes data associated with a vertex of the primitive during each loop operation. The appropriate control unit logic element determines via the last vertex bit whether the vertex that was recently operated on in the past by the stack is the last vertex of the primitive that is currently at issue.

MICHAEL RAZAVI

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2001